

## HOMEWORK 1: OUR FIRST EXAMPLE

MIKE SORICE

### QUESTIONS

**Exercise 1.** A natural number,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , is *divisible* by  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  if there is a number  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $da = m$ . Consider the following statement:

If  $m$  is divisible by six, then it is divisible by three.

- (1) Give a direct proof of this statement.

*Proof.* Given  $6|m$ , by definition,  $\exists d \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $m = 6d = 3(2d)$ .

$\therefore \exists d' \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $m = 3d'$ , namely  $d' = 2d$ . □

- (2) Give the contrapositive of the statement.

If  $m$  is not divisible by 3, then it is not divisible by 6.

- (3) Give a proof by contrapositive of the statement.

*Proof.* Suppose  $3 \nmid m$ .

Then by definition,  $\nexists d \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $m = 3d$ , i.e.  $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, m \neq 3d$ .

*A fortiori*, there is no even natural number  $2d'$  such that  $m = 3(2d') = 6d'$  for  $d' \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\therefore 6 \nmid m$ . □

- (4) Give a proof by contradiction of the statement.

*Proof.* Given  $6|m$ , suppose for contradiction  $3 \nmid m$ .

$6|m \Rightarrow \exists d \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $m = 6d = 3(2d)$ , but  $d \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow 2d \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\therefore \exists 2d = d' \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $m = 3d'$ .

$\therefore 3|m$  by definition.  $\Rightarrow \times$  □

**Exercise 2** (Hungerford, 1.1.8). Use the Division Algorithm to show that every odd integer is either of the form  $4k + 1$  or of the form  $4k + 3$  for some integer  $k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z$  be some odd integer.

By the division algorithm,  $\exists!(q, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $0 \leq r < 2$  s.t.  $z = 2q + r$ .

Since  $z$  is odd, by definition,  $2 \nmid z \Rightarrow r \neq 0 \Rightarrow r = 1$  so that  $z = 2q + 1$ .

$q$  being an integer,  $\exists!(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $0 \leq l < 2$  s.t.  $q = 2k + l$  by the division algorithm.

$l$  is either 0 (if  $q$  is even) or 1 (if  $q$  is odd.)

$$\therefore z = 2(2k + l) + 1 = 4k + 2l + 1 = \begin{cases} 4k + 1, & l = 0 \\ 4k + 3, & l = 1 \end{cases}$$

□

**Exercise 3.** Suppose that  $a|c$  and  $b|c$ . Show that it is *not necessarily true* that  $ab|c$ , but that it *is* true if  $(a, b) = 1$ .

It is not the case that  $a|c \wedge b|c \Rightarrow ab|c$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = b = c = 2$ .  $2|2$  and  $2|2$ , yet  $2 \cdot 2 = 4 \nmid 2$ . □

However, it is the case that  $a|c \wedge b|c \wedge (a, b) = 1 \Rightarrow ab|c$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $a|c \wedge b|c \wedge (a, b) = 1$ .

$a|c \Rightarrow c = ma$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $b|c \Rightarrow b|ma$ .

As  $b|ma \wedge (a, b) = 1$ ,  $b|m$  by theorem 1.4 so that  $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $m = bn$ .

$\therefore c = nab \Rightarrow ab|c$  by definition. □

**Exercise 4** (Hungerford, 1.3.21). Suppose that  $c^2 = ab$  and  $(a, b) = 1$ . Use the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic to show that  $a$  and  $b$  must be squares. Then explain why the assumption  $(a, b) = 1$  is necessary.

*Proof.* We require  $a, b > 0$  as otherwise the statement to prove holds for, for example,  $a = -1, b = -4, c = 2$  (as  $-1 \cdot -4 = 4 = 2^2 \wedge (-1, -4) = 1$ ) though  $-1$  and  $-4$  are not squares.

Consider the case  $c = 0$ . Then  $ab = c^2 = 0$  entails that at least one of  $a$  or  $b$  is 0, so that  $(a, b) = 1$  and  $a, b > 0$  require that the other is 1.  $0 = 0^2$  and  $1 = 1^2$  are squares.

Consider  $c \neq 0 \Rightarrow c^2 > 0$ . If one of  $a, b$  is  $1 = 1^2$ , then the other is necessarily  $c^2$ , a square.

It remains to consider the case  $c \neq 0 \wedge a, b > 1$ . Given  $a, b > 1$ , by the F.T.A., there are unique positive primes  $P = \{p_i\}_{i=1}^l$  and  $Q = \{q_i\}_{i=1}^m$  such that  $a = \prod P$  and  $b = \prod Q$ .

Further, by the F.T.A., there are unique (up to sign) primes  $R = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $c = \prod R \Rightarrow c^2 = \prod_{i=1}^n r_i^2$ .

$\forall p \in P, p|a$  as  $a = \prod P$ , so  $p|ab \Rightarrow p|c \Rightarrow p|\prod R$ .

$\therefore$  as  $p$  is prime by hypothesis,  $\forall p \in P, p|r$  for some  $r \in R$  by corollary 1.6.

$r$  being prime and  $p > 1$  by hypothesis,  $p|r \Rightarrow p = r$  by corollary 1.6.

$\therefore \forall p \in P, \exists r \in R$  s.t.  $p = r$ .

Similarly,  $\forall q \in Q, \exists r \in R$  s.t.  $q = r$ .

Now,  $\forall p \in P, p \notin Q$  as otherwise  $a$  and  $b$  would share at least  $p > 1$  as a divisor, but  $(a, b) = 1$ . Similarly,  $\forall q \in Q, q \notin P$  so that  $P \cap Q = \emptyset$ .

Thus, as  $\prod_{i=1}^l nr_i^2 = \prod P \prod Q, \forall r \in R, r^2 | \prod P \prod Q \Rightarrow r | \prod P \prod Q$ .

As  $\prod P \prod Q$  is a product of primes and  $r$  is prime by hypothesis,  $\exists s \in P \cup Q$  s.t.  $r | s$  by corollary 1.6. Further,  $s$  and  $r$  being prime,  $s = \pm r$ .

$P \cap Q = \emptyset$ , so each  $r \in R, |r|$  appears in exactly one of  $P$  or  $Q$ .

Suppose  $|r| \in P$ . Then  $a$  can be divided by  $|r|$  twice as  $ab = c^2$  and  $r^2 | c^2$ . Therefore  $P$  contains  $|r|$  twice for each  $r \in R$ . Without loss of generality, let  $|r_i| \in P$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, \frac{l}{2}\}$  (so that  $|r_i| \in Q$  for  $i \in \{\frac{l}{2} + 1, \dots, n\}$ ) and

$|r_i| \leq |r_{i+1}|$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ . Then  $a = \prod_{i=1}^l p_i = \prod_{i=1}^{l/2} r_i^2 = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l/2} |r_i| \right)^2$ ,

which is a square.

Similarly, for  $|r_i| \in Q$  for  $i \in \{\frac{l}{2} + 1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $b = \left( \prod_{i=l/2+1}^n |r_i| \right)^2$ , which is also a square. □

As suggested in the above proof, the result requires that  $(a, b) = 1$  as otherwise a factor of  $c$  might divide both  $a$  and  $b$ , so that they could multiply to a square (i.e. a product of squares) without being squares themselves. Consider, for example,  $2 \cdot 2 = 4 = 2^2$ . Neither  $a$  nor  $b$  is a square, yet since they share a common factor (2,) they can multiply to produce a square (4.)

**Exercise 5** (Hungerford, 1.3.26). Show that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a list of  $n$  consecutive composite integers. Try starting your list with

$$(n + 1)! + 2.$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 0$ , the claim is vacuously true.

For  $n = 1$ , the single integer  $(1 + 1)! + 2 = 4$ , being composite ( $4 = 2^2$ ) will do.

Suppose  $n > 1$  then. Consider  $(n + 1)! + m = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} i + m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N} \wedge 2 \leq m \leq n + 1$ :

$$(n + 1)! + m = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} i + m = m \left( 1 + \frac{1}{m} \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} i \right) = m \left( 1 + \prod_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\} - \{m\}} i \right).$$

Now,  $\prod_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\} - \{m\}} i = \frac{(n+1)!}{m}$ , being a product of integers, is an integer so that  $m | [(n + 1)! + m]$  so that  $(n + 1)! + m$  is composite by definition.

As the above holds for  $m \in \mathbb{N}, 2 \leq m \leq n + 1$ , it produces a set of  $n$  consecutive positive integers,  $\{(n + 1)! + 2, (n + 1)! + 3, \dots, (n + 1)! + n + 1\}$  all of which are composite as  $2 \mid [(n + 1)! + 2], 3 \mid [(n + 1)! + 3], \text{ etc.}$

□

**Exercise 6.** Suppose  $c$  and  $b$  are natural numbers and  $c > b > 0$ . Show that there exists a natural number  $r$  so that  $b \mid (c - r)$  and that if we require  $0 \leq r < b$ , then this  $r$  is unique.

*Proof.*  $b, c \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  as  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .

$\therefore \exists!(q, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  s.t.  $c = bq + r \wedge 0 \leq r < b$  by the division algorithm.

$r \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 0 \leq r \Rightarrow r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\therefore c - r = bq \Rightarrow b \mid (c - r)$ .

$c - r > 0$  as  $r < b < c$  by hypothesis, and  $c - r = bq \Rightarrow bq > 0$ .  $b > 0$  as well by hypothesis, so  $q > 0$ .  $q \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge q > 0 \Rightarrow q \in \mathbb{N}$ .

□